SINGLE BENCH

3

C-5100/

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1227/2006

PETITIONER : Smt. Mangla Sharma Wife of Shri B. P. Sharma, aged about 56 years, Posted as Superintendent of Girls Observation Home, Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

P.R. No. 12941/06
Presented by Vinay Pandey
dated 2/3/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare D.K.S Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Director, Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, Mahanadi Khand, Mantralaya Parisar, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
7/3/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

51

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 1227 of 2006

Petitioner Smt. Mangla Sharma

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh & another

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate for the Respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(25th March, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working as Superintendent , Observation Home at Rajnandgaon. According to the petitioner in the gradation list of Probation Officer/Case Worker/District Auditor/Research Assistant dated 1.4.2000 the name of the petitioner was at Srl. No. 6. Thereafter in the gradation list dated 1.4.2004 the name of the petitioner was at Srl. No.2. To the utter surprise of the petitioner in the gradation list of 5.8.2005 (Annexure P/6) the name of the petitioner is placed at Srl. No.2.

2. The petitioner has made several representations dated 1.9.2005 (Annexure P/7), 12.9.2005 (Annexure P/8) and Annexure P/9. The respondents have not paid any heed to her representations. Learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage submits that the petitioner confines her submission to the prayer No. 7.3 made in the petition, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

(52)

3. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. The respondents are directed to consider and decide the representations, as stated above, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. No order as to costs.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur